

The 5th September, 1983

No. ID/RTK/105-81/45385.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Karan Singh and the management of M/s Haryana Dairy Development Cooperative Federation Ltd. Gohana Road Milk Plant Rohtak, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to Labour Court, Rohtak constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act 1947,—vide Government notification No. 3864-ASO(E)-Lab-70/13648, dated 8th May, 1970 read with Government notification No. 9641-I-Lab-70/32573, dated 6th November, 1970 the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication;—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Karan Singh was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/108-83/45497.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Nand Kumar and the management of M/s Bharat Rubber Industries Link, Road, Rajender Colony Faridabad regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3-Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Nand Kumar was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/GGN/90-83/45406.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Ram Kumar and the management of M/s. Precision Metal Works (I) Pvt. Ltd., Railway Road Gurgaon, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication:

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-6-Lab-57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3-Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below, being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication;

Whether the termination of service of Shri Ram Kumar was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/199-83/45427.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Sant Ram and the management of M/s. East India Cotton Mfg. Co., Ltd., NIT Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the industrial disputes Act, 1947 the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication;

Whether the termination of service of Shri Sant Ram was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?